

Investigating Images Strategy: *Develop Thoughtful Reflections*

Double Entry Journal

5Ws	Observations	Reflections
WHO is in the image?		
WHAT are they doing?		
WHERE does the event in the image take place?		
WHEN is the event taking place?		
WHY is the action happening?		

Investigating Images Strategy: *Develop Thoughtful Reflections*

9 Assessing the evidence and reflections

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Creating reflections	Each reflection is very thoughtful providing many insightful and creative thoughts to ponder.	Each reflection is thoughtful and includes some insightful thoughts to ponder.	Most reflections are generally effective and revealing.	Reflections are general and are connected to only the most obvious observations.	The plausibility of the descriptions is highly questionable.
Distinguishes descriptions from reflections	While fully supported by details, each reflection is a thoughtful interpretation of obvious and subtle observations	Each reflection is a thoughtful interpretation of obvious and some subtle observations.	Most reflections are distinguished from observations.	Reflections are direct interpretations of the most obvious observations.	Struggles to distinguish between reflections and descriptions.

Investigating Images Strategy: *Drawing conclusions*

5Ws Hypotheses and Evidence

	<i>Hypotheses</i>	<i>Evidence from Image</i>
WHO is in the image?		
WHAT are they doing?		
WHERE does the image take place?		
WHEN did the action in the image take place?		
WHY is the action happening?		
Explaining the image:		

Investigating Images Strategy: *Drawing conclusions*

Assessing the evidence and explanations

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Creates an explanation	The explanation is highly plausible and includes thoughtful descriptions of very noticeable and not as noticeable details from the image.	The explanation is plausible and includes thoughtful descriptions of very noticeable and a few not as noticeable details from the image.	The explanation is mostly plausible and based on the most noticeable details from the image.	The explanation is general and based on only the most noticeable details from the image.	The plausibility of the explanation is highly questionable.
Evidence from the image	Identifies many relevant details for each of the 5W questions that often are subtle or imaginative pieces of evidence.	Identifies several relevant details for each of the 5W questions, including a few less obvious pieces of evidence.	Identifies two relevant but obvious details for each of the 5W questions.	Identifies one relevant but obvious detail for each of the 5W questions.	Struggles to identify even one relevant detail for each of the 5W questions.

Investigating Images Strategy: *Describe the experience*

Creating sensory descriptions

Senses	Description	Evidence from the image
Smell	Very noticeable: Less noticeable:	
Taste	Very noticeable: Less noticeable:	
Hear	Very noticeable: Less noticeable:	
Feel	Very noticeable: Less noticeable:	
See	Very noticeable: Less noticeable:	

Investigating Images Strategy: *Describe the experience*

Assessing the evidence and descriptions

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Describing sensory experiences	Each description is highly plausible and includes thoughtful descriptions of very noticeable and not as noticeable sensory experiences.	Each description is plausible and includes thoughtful descriptions of very noticeable and a few not as noticeable sensory experiences.	Most descriptions of the noticeable sensory experiences are plausible.	Descriptions are general and only of the most noticeable sensory experiences.	The plausibility of the descriptions is highly questionable.
Evidence from the image	Identifies many relevant and subtle pieces of evidence to support each of the 5 senses.	Identifies several relevant details for each of the 5 senses, including a few less obvious pieces of evidence.	Identifies relevant but obvious details for each of the 5 senses.	Identifies one relevant but obvious detail for each of the 5 senses.	Struggles to identify even one relevant detail for each of the 5 senses.

Investigating Images Strategy: Assess the explanation

Rating the explanation

Accurate: accurately describes specific details of the image	The proposed explanation is: <input type="checkbox"/> very accurate <input type="checkbox"/> generally accurate <input type="checkbox"/> somewhat accurate <input type="checkbox"/> highly inaccurate	Support for rating:
Specific: offers a very precise description of the image	The proposed explanation is: <input type="checkbox"/> extremely specific <input type="checkbox"/> quite specific <input type="checkbox"/> missing many details <input type="checkbox"/> very vague	Support for rating:
Believable: summarizes answers to the key parts of each of the 5Ws questions	The proposed explanation is: <input type="checkbox"/> very believable <input type="checkbox"/> generally believable <input type="checkbox"/> not at all believable	Support for rating:
Overall rating: The proposed explanation is: <input type="checkbox"/> very complete <input type="checkbox"/> generally complete <input type="checkbox"/> somewhat complete <input type="checkbox"/> incomplete		

Assessing the evidence and ratings

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Evidence from the image	Identifies many relevant details that often are subtle or imaginative for each aspect of the proposed explanation.	Identifies several relevant details for each aspect of the proposed explanation, including a few less obvious pieces of evidence.	Identifies two relevant but obvious details for each aspect of the proposed explanation.	Identifies one relevant but obvious detail for each aspect of the proposed explanation.	Struggles to identify even one relevant detail for each aspect of the proposed explanation.
Rating of the explanation	Each rating is highly credible and convincingly supported with many thoughtful and relevant reasons	Each rating is credible supported with many thoughtful reasons	Most ratings are credible and are supported with general reasons	Support for each rating is generally relevant but often missing supporting details	The ratings are highly questionable and not supported with relevant reasons

Investigating Images Strategy: Assess the explanation

Assembling evidence about the explanation

<i>Proposed explanation:</i>	
Key parts of the explanation	Evidence from the image

Investigating Images Strategy: *Describe the inner- thoughts*

Assembling Evidence of Inner Thinking

	Conclusions about inner thinking	Obvious or direct clues	Less obvious or indirect clues
Feelings			
Hopes and fears			
Beliefs and thoughts			
Values			
Empathic description: <i>What would it be like?</i>			

Investigating Images Strategy: *Describe the inner- thoughts*

Assessing the description

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Evidence from the image	Identifies many relevant details for each of the types of inner thoughts that often are subtle or imaginative pieces of evidence.	Identifies several relevant details for each of the types of inner thoughts, including a few less obvious pieces of evidence.	Identifies two relevant but obvious details for each of the types of inner thoughts	Identifies one relevant but obvious detail for each of the types of inner thoughts	Struggles to identify even one relevant detail for each of the types of inner thoughts
Offers an empathic description	Offers a description that demonstrates interesting or unexpected insights into the featured person's thinking and the situation	Offers several conclusions that demonstrate interesting insights into the featured person's thinking and the situation	The description is plausible and provides basic insights into the featured person's thinking	The description is generally relevant and provides basic insight into the situation	The description is highly questionable does not provide insight into the individual's thinking or the situation

Investigating Images Strategy: *Decipher the Impression*

Deciphering the Implicit Message

Analysis of the image	Observation
<i>Technique:</i> what visual techniques are used to create the image? (wide angle or close up view, colors, size, arrangement of key objects in the image)	
<i>Point of view:</i> from whose point of view is the image created? Whose point of view is the image sympathetic to?	
<i>Impression:</i> what emotional response is evoked by the image? How would other groups react to this image?	
Implicit message of the image:	

Investigating Images Strategy: *Decipher the Impression*

Assessing the interpretation

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Evidence from the image	Identifies many relevant and subtle or imaginative pieces of evidence to support the interpretation.	Identifies several relevant details for each interpretation, including a few less obvious pieces of evidence.	Identifies relevant but obvious details for each interpretation.	Identifies one relevant but obvious detail for each interpretation.	Struggles to identify even one relevant detail for each interpretation
Offers an interpretation	Offers an interpretation that demonstrates interesting or unexpected insights into the underlying message of the image.	Offers an interpretation that demonstrates interesting insights into the underlying message of the image.	The interpretation is plausible and provides basic insights into the underlying message of the image.	The interpretation is generally relevant and provides basic insight into the underlying message of the image.	The interpretation is highly questionable does not provide insight into the underlying message of the image.

Investigating Images Strategy: *Drawing conclusions about lifestyle*

Evidence about basic needs and lifestyle

	Clues from image	Impact on Lifestyle
<p>Physical: ○ How well are the needs for food, water, and sanitation met? ○ How challenging would it be to meet this need?</p>		<input type="checkbox"/> +2 very positive impact <input type="checkbox"/> +1 <input type="checkbox"/> 0 no impact <input type="checkbox"/> -1 <input type="checkbox"/> -2 very negative impact
<p>Safety: ○ How well are the needs for personal security, security of family, and security of health being met? ○ How challenging would it be to meet this need?</p>		<input type="checkbox"/> +2 very positive impact <input type="checkbox"/> +1 <input type="checkbox"/> 0 no impact <input type="checkbox"/> -1 <input type="checkbox"/> -2 very negative impact
<p>Belonging ○ family, friends ○ How challenging would it be to meet this need?</p>		<input type="checkbox"/> +2 very positive impact <input type="checkbox"/> +1 <input type="checkbox"/> 0 no impact <input type="checkbox"/> -1 <input type="checkbox"/> -2 very negative impact
<p>Esteem ○ Confidence, respect from and for others ○ How challenging would it be to meet this need?</p>		<input type="checkbox"/> +2 very positive impact <input type="checkbox"/> +1 <input type="checkbox"/> 0 no impact <input type="checkbox"/> -1 <input type="checkbox"/> -2 very negative impact

Investigating Images Strategy: *Drawing conclusions about lifestyle*

Assessing the evidence and ratings

	Well developed	Competent	Good	Basic	In progress
Describes how basic needs are met	Each description is highly plausible and includes thoughtful descriptions of how each type of basic need is met.	Each description is plausible and includes thoughtful descriptions of how each type of basic need is met.	Most descriptions of how each type of basic need is met are plausible.	Descriptions are general and only of the most obvious examples of basic needs are met.	Struggles to describe even one example of how basic needs are met.
Rating of the explanation	Each rating is highly credible and convincingly supported with many thoughtful and relevant reasons	Each rating is credible supported with many thoughtful reasons	Most ratings are credible and are supported with general reasons	Support for each rating is generally relevant but often missing supporting details	The ratings are highly questionable and not supported with relevant reasons